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Abstract

Background: Perceived fatigue is among the most common complaints in older adults and is substantially influenced by diminished resources or impaired structure of widespread cortical and subcortical regions. Alzheimer’s disease and its preclinical stage—mild cognitive impairment (MCI)—are considered a brain network disease. It is unknown, however, whether those with MCI will therefore perceive worse fatigue, and whether an impaired global brain network will worsen their experience of fatigue.

Methods: In this pilot case–control study of age-, sex-, and education-matched MCI and their cognitively healthy counterparts (HCs), perceived fatigue was measured using Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory, and diffusion tensor imaging tractography data were analyzed using graph theory methods to explore small-worldliness properties: segregation and integration.

Results: Perceived fatigue was more severe in MCI than HCs. Despite a trend for greater network alterations in MCI, there were no significant group differences in integration or segregation. Greater perceived fatigue was related to higher segregation across groups; more perceived fatigue was related to higher segregation and lower integration in MCI but not HCs.

Conclusion: Findings of this study support the notion that altered whole-brain small-worldliness properties in brain aging or neurodegeneration may underpin perceived fatigue.

Keywords: Integration, Mild cognitive impairment, Perceived fatigue, Segregation, Small worldness

Fatigue is a broad construct related to feelings of extreme tiredness and low energy, which is associated with difficulty in performing tasks (1). Increased perceived fatigue is a characteristic of aging (2) and has been linked to negative functional outcomes in older adults, suggesting it may provide a link between brain aging and daily life challenges in both healthy aging and dementia (3). Emerging notions suggest that, rather than a singular neural indicator, a distributed network of brain regions may underpin perceived fatigue (4,5). A recent expansion of computational techniques aiming to understand the organization of the whole brain as a network shows that efficient processing relies on a balance between segregation and integration at the global level (6). These graph-theoretical approaches hold promise for understanding concepts that lack clear regional bases but may represent emergent properties of disrupted whole-brain information processing, such as fatigue (7). Older adults at risk for dementia (eg, mild cognitive impairment [MCI]) show increased global segregation and reduced integration compared to their cognitively healthy counterparts (HCs), and this pattern is even more
evident in dementia (8). Additionally, this same pattern of increased segregation and decreased integration has been linked to fatigue manipulated via acute tasks in young adults (9). Together, these lines of evidence suggest that perceived fatigue may represent a behavioral outcome of whole-brain network dysfunction that occurs with brain aging and may act as a link between brain aging and functional challenges in MCI and dementia. In this study, we attempted to test this by measuring fatigue in older adults with MCI and healthy controls. We also assessed whole-brain structural network properties of segregation, integration, and small worldness by applying graph theoretical methods to diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) data to understand whether these properties relate to fatigue in healthy aging and MCI.

**Method**

**Design**

A case–control cross-sectional study was conducted.

**Participants**

Forty-three older HCs with typical cognition (mean age ± SD = 72 ± 5.36, 23.26% male, mean years of education = 17 ± 2.83), as defined by Montreal Cognitive Assessment of at least 26, were selected based on the availability of their DTI and fatigue data out of recent work from our group to examine the neural profile of fatigue in community-dwelling older adults (5,10). To match for age, 51 participants with MCI were identified from a longitudinal study from our group, with a mean age ± SD of 72 ± 5 years; their baseline data were used in this study (3). The diagnosis of MCI has been described previously (5); briefly, all clinics providing patients followed the National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer’s Association criteria when diagnosing MCI (11). Across groups, inclusion criteria included (a) aged at least 65 years, community-dwelling, English-speaking, adequate vision and hearing for testing, and unimpaired color vision; (b) 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) less than 6; and (c) capacity to give consent (indexed by University of California, San Diego Brief Assessment of Capacity to Consent). Exclusion criteria included (a) disease or medication that would potentially confound with fatigue; (b) neurological or vascular disorders; (c) episode of a diagnosed and active psychiatric disorder within the past 5 years; (d) change in medication or beta-blocker dosage within the past 3 months; and (e) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contraindications. Written informed consent was obtained before enrollment. The study was approved by the University of Research Subjects Review Board.

**Perceived fatigue**

The Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI) was used to assess perceived fatigue in the past month along 5 dimensions: general fatigue, physical fatigue, mental fatigue, reduced motivation, and reduced activity (12). A perceived fatigue total score was calculated as the sum of all dimensional scores. Each dimension had a possible range of 4–28 (total range of 20–140), with higher scores indicating more fatigue. Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was 0.85 and 0.90 for HCs and MCI, respectively, for the measurement.

**Imaging Data Acquisition and Preprocessing**

Imaging data were collected at the University of Rochester Center for Advanced Brain Imaging and Neurophysiology using a 3T Siemens Trio TIM scanner (Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 32-channel receive-only head coil.

**Structural MRI**

High-resolution T1-weighted anatomical images were collected with TR/TE = 2350/3.44 ms, TI = 1100 ms, flip angle = 7°, 256 × 256 matrix, 1 mm$^3$ isotropic resolution, 1 mm slice thickness, and 192 slices.

**Diffusion MRI**

DTI was acquired using a 2D axial single-shot dual-echo SE-EPI sequence with TR/TE = 8900/86 ms, 128 × 128 matrix, 2 mm slice thickness with no gap (60 slices for whole-brain coverage), iPAT (GRAPPA) acceleration factor = 2, 60 diffusion-weighted directions, with $b = 1000 \text{s/mm}^2$ with 1 average and $b = 0$ images with 10 averages. Raw diffusion-weighted images (DWIs) were aligned to the average b0 image using the FSL eddy correct tool (www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) to correct for head motion and eddy current distortions. FSL Brain Extraction Tool was used to remove non-brain tissue (13). DWIs were then registered with T1 images using Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTs; http://www.nitrc.org/ANTS/).

**Network Construction**

Structural brain connectomes were extracted using an established structural connectome processing pipeline (for details, see Ref. (14)). Briefly, this pipeline performs angular resolution diffusion tractography with anatomical priors, registers this data to parcellated T1 anatomical images for each participant, and groups each tractography data set into bundles connecting specified regions of interest. Next, cortical, subcortical, and brainstem regions were defined on T1 images for each participant using FreeSurfer v6.0.0 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). A total of 17 subcortical regions (excluding brainstem structures) were identified. Automated cortical parcellation was performed using the Desikan-Killiany atlas (15). From the cortical and subcortical regions, we obtained a network of 85 nodes for each participant (Supplementary Table). Mean fractional anisotropy (FA), an indicator of white matter integrity, was calculated to identify connections between node pairs. We binarized the networks by applying a fixed sparsity threshold to set the strongest 20%–30% (in intervals of 1%) of connections to 1 in the adjacency matrices, and all the remaining connections to 0. This range was selected for its established index stability, which was observed in our data, and biological plausibility (16). Because graph theory indices can be affected by the size of the graphs and the number of edges used, a sparsity threshold ensures consistency of indices when comparing HCs and MCI (17). This process yielded an 85 × 85 symmetric connectivity matrix for each participant.

**Graph Theory Analysis**

We performed graph-theoretical analyses on clustering coefficient, characteristic path length, and small worldness using FA, as described by Watts and Strogatz (18) with GRETNA toolbox v2.0 (19) and MATLAB 2018a.

**Clustering coefficient**

Clustering coefficient measures the degree to which a given node in a graph clusters with its neighboring nodes. A higher clustering coefficient reflects greater segregation. At the nodal level, the clustering coefficient is computed as:

$$C_v = \frac{2e_v}{k_v(k_v - 1)}$$

where $k_v$ is the subgraph that contains the direct neighbors connected to node $v$, $e_v$ is the total number of connections in $k_v$,.
and $k(v)(k_v - 1)$ is the maximal number of possible connections in subgraph $k_v$.

At the global level, the average clustering coefficient describes the extent of clustering in the entire graph by averaging the clustering coefficients across all nodes. The average clustering coefficient is calculated as:

$$C_{\text{global}} = \frac{\sum_{v=1}^{N} C_v}{N}$$

where $C_v$ is the clustering coefficient of node $v$, and $N$ is the total number of nodes in the graph.

**Characteristic path length**

The shortest path length measures the average shortest distance between 2 nodes in a graph. A smaller shortest path length reflects higher integration. At the nodal level, the shortest path length is calculated between a node and all other nodes as:

$$L_v = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{vi}}{(N - 1)}$$

where $d_{vi}$ is the minimum number of connections linking nodes $v$ and $i$, and $(N - 1)$ is the maximal number of possible paths in the graph.

At the global level, the characteristic path length measures the average path length between all nodes and is calculated as:

$$L_{\text{global}} = \frac{\sum_{v=1}^{N} L_v}{N}$$

where $L_v$ is the average shortest path between node $v$ and all other nodes, and $N$ is the total number of nodes in the graph.

**Integration versus segregation**

The normalized metrics served as test statistics for nonrandom network properties (20). Briefly, we generated a set of random networks ($N = 500$) for each connectivity matrix to obtain a null distribution (6,21). Next, we summed the ratios of average clustering coefficients for a given connectivity matrix to each of its respective random networks and averaged this value over the total number of random networks. Normalized average clustering coefficient was calculated using GRETNAs by the method employed in gretna_RUN_SmallWorld.m (lines 68–69):

$$\gamma_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=1}^{N} C_{r,x}$$

where $N$ is the total number of random networks generated, $C_{\text{global}}$ is the average clustering coefficient, and $C_{r,x}$ is the average clustering coefficient of random network $x$ (19).

Normalized characteristic path length was obtained using the same random networks and calculated as:

$$\lambda_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=1}^{N} L_{r,x}$$

where $N$ is the total number of random networks generated, $L_{\text{global}}$ is the characteristic path length, and $L_{r,x}$ is the characteristic path length of random network $x$.

We calculated the global metrics at each sparsity threshold and summarized each metric by computing its respective area under the curve (AUC) over the range of sparsity thresholds. The integrated AUC values of $\gamma_N$ (ie, $\gamma$, a measure of segregation) and $\lambda_N$ (ie, $\lambda$, a measure of integration) were used in further statistical analyses.

**Validation of the small-worldliness properties**

Small worldliness measures the ratio between segregation and integration of a network. Small worldliness is quantified by comparing the average clustering coefficient and characteristic path length of a given network to its respective null model (ie, an equivalent random network that shares the same number of nodes and degree distribution) (6,21). Small worldliness is calculated as:

$$\frac{C_{\text{global}}}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=1}^{N} C_{r,x}} \times \frac{L_{\text{global}}}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=1}^{N} L_{r,x}}$$

$$\gamma_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=1}^{N} C_{r,x}$$

$$\lambda_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=1}^{N} L_{r,x}$$

where $N$ is the total number of random networks generated, $C_{\text{global}}$ is the average clustering coefficient, and $C_{r,x}$ is the average clustering coefficient of random network $x$.

**Table 1. Background Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MCI ($n = 51$)</th>
<th>HC ($n = 43$)</th>
<th>$T$ or $\chi^2$ Test, $df$ ($p$†)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>71.27 (6.54)</td>
<td>71.75 (5.36)</td>
<td>0.38, 92 (.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, $n$ (%)</td>
<td>16 (31.37)</td>
<td>10 (23.26)</td>
<td>0.77, 1 (.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, mean (SD)</td>
<td>16.01 (2.35)</td>
<td>16.95 (2.84)</td>
<td>1.76, 92 (.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of beta-blockers, $n$ (%)</td>
<td>8 (15.69)</td>
<td>8 (18.60)</td>
<td>0.14, 1 (.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic condition index, mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.47 (2.09)</td>
<td>4.53 (2.13)</td>
<td>0.14, 92 (.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS, mean (SD)*</td>
<td>2.16 (2.32)</td>
<td>0.67 (1.41)</td>
<td>−3.66, 92 (&lt;.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA, mean (SD)</td>
<td>24.12 (2.39)</td>
<td>27.67 (1.69)</td>
<td>8.19, 92 (&lt;.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFI, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>58.65 (22.03)</td>
<td>46.74 (14.68)</td>
<td>−3.02, 92 (.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General fatigue</td>
<td>13.75 (6.22)</td>
<td>11.07 (3.91)</td>
<td>−2.44, 92 (.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical fatigue</td>
<td>11.64 (6.09)</td>
<td>8.72 (3.70)</td>
<td>−2.75, 92 (.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced activity</td>
<td>10.94 (5.12)</td>
<td>8.34 (4.43)</td>
<td>−2.29, 92 (.024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced motivation</td>
<td>9.88 (5.12)</td>
<td>7.16 (3.58)</td>
<td>−2.93, 92 (.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental fatigue</td>
<td>12.43 (5.70)</td>
<td>11.44 (5.33)</td>
<td>−0.86, 92 (.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-worldliness measures, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segregation ($\gamma$)</td>
<td>0.1036 (6.70e-4)</td>
<td>0.1034 (5.90e-4)</td>
<td>1.46, 92 (.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration ($\lambda$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.50, 92 (.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: MCI = mild cognitive impairment; HC = healthy controls; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; MFI = Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory. Bold values indicate statistical significance at $p < 0.05$.

*Item “Do you feel full of energy?” was removed from GDS.

†$p$ values across MFI subdomains were FDR-corrected.
where $\gamma_N$ is the normalized average clustering coefficient and $\lambda_N$ is the normalized characteristic path length of random network $x$. A network is considered to have small-worldness properties if $\sigma$ greater than 1. We calculated $\gamma_x$, $\lambda_x$, and $\sigma$ at each sparsity threshold (ie, 20%–30% in an interval of 1%). All participants possessed small-worldness properties ($\sigma > 1$) across all sparsity thresholds, suggesting the validity of applying integration and segregation indices. Although Telesford et al. (22) have described a newer measure of small worldness that is more stable across different graph sizes, we chose this methodology because $\sigma$ greater than 1 is an established characteristic of small-world brain networks, and increased stability across graph sizes was not necessary because the same 85 nodes were used for each participant (20).

### Other Measures
Depressive symptoms were measured using the 15-item GDS. One question (ie, “Do you feel full of energy?”) was removed due to its similarity in assessing fatigue (23). All positive items were summed. We also collected data on beta-blocker use and the presence of chronic health conditions (24). All main variables of the study are presented in Table 1.

### Statistical Analyses
Group comparisons of background characteristics were performed using two-sample $t$-tests for continuous measures and chi-square tests for categorical measures. Associations between continuous variables were quantified using Spearman’s rank correlation. Statistical significance was evaluated at $\alpha = 0.05$. Analyses were primarily focused on whole-brain graph measures of small worldness and total MFI score and secondarily on regional small-worldness measures and MFI domain subscores with false discovery rate (FDR) correction. All statistical analyses were performed using MATLAB 2018a.

### Results

#### Group Comparisons for Graph Characteristics, Small-Worldness Measures, and Perceived Fatigue
Average mean FA across the entire brain was not found significantly different between HCs and MCI. These nonsignificant results indicate that the sparsity threshold did not influence group difference in the graph measures. There was no group difference in segregation ($\gamma$) or integration ($\lambda$) although we did observe a trend for both higher $\gamma$ ($p = .14$) and $\lambda$ ($p = .15$) in MCI compared to HCs. Perceived fatigue was higher in MCI compared to HCs for total MFI score, as well as the subdomains, excluding mental fatigue (Table 1).

#### Relationship Between Small-Worldness Measures and Perceived Fatigue
Higher perceived fatigue was related to higher segregation (ie, higher $\gamma$) for the entire sample. When conducting within-group analysis, higher perceived fatigue was related to higher segregation and lower integration (ie, higher $\lambda$) in MCI but not HC (Figure 1). No nodal level graph metrics in relation to fatigue survived FDR correction in the entire sample or within the group. When controlling for confounders (ie, GDS, AD signature cortical thickness, use of beta-blockers, and chronic condition index), the findings for the MCI group remained significant.

Because the MFI fatigue score was derived from 5 subdomain scores, we also examined the relationships between small-worldness measures and individual fatigue domains (Table 2). With FDR correction, higher fatigue scores in all subdomains, except mental fatigue, were significantly correlated with higher segregation in the entire sample.

### Discussion
The main findings of this study were that (a) perceived fatigue, both total and subdomain scores, except mental fatigue, was more severe in MCI compared to HCs; (b) both MCI and HC groups possessed small-worldness properties, but despite a trend for greater network alterations in MCI, there were no significant group differences in...
integration or segregation; (c) greater perceived fatigue was related to higher segregation across groups; and (d) greater perceived fatigue was related to higher segregation and lower integration in MCI but not HCs.

Findings of the present study support the notion that altered whole-brain small-worldness properties in brain aging or neurodegeneration may underpin perceived fatigue. A loss of connections driven by advancing age or pathology alters network topology and the dynamics between different networks. These changes elicit progressively disruptive changes in information transfer critical for distributed processes, such as perceived fatigue. Because MCI is a condition driven by exaggerated brain aging or pathology (11), the findings of worse fatigue, as well as a more evident relationship between perceived fatigue and altered small-worldness properties in MCI, further support this notion. Findings from Lin et al. (25) suggest that global graph theory measures are more sensitive to changes in structural network topology in MCI than in HCs. Additionally, He et al. (17) have described how small-worldness measures are vulnerable to attacks on localized subsets of regions, which are known to occur during the progression of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Our findings align with this literature and suggest that graph measures in MCI are more vulnerable to fatigue-related alterations in network topology than in HCs. We suggest that heightened vulnerability of graph measures may explain the correlations we observed between small worldness and perceived fatigue measures for MCI but not HC. Also of note, He et al. (17) reported significantly higher segregation and lower integration in AD compared to HCs. The insignificant but trending group difference in small-worldness properties of this study may be explained by less severe brain pathology in MCI compared to AD. However, the causality between fatigue and small worldness needs to be further corroborated in future studies. It is unclear whether there is a shared etiology explaining both aspects, such as the possibility that hypermetabolism relates to both fatigue and brain network dysfunction, or whether complaints of fatigue are a byproduct of a global network deficiency.

Limitations need to be acknowledged. First, this study was based on data drawn from another clinical investigation. As a result, this study had a relatively low sample size and did not include exhaustive measures of fatigue. These results should therefore be treated as preliminary findings to guide future work. Second, we used the MFI, a measure of trait fatigue in the past month. Differentiating the 5 dimensions of trait fatigue may be of restricted use, given the relatively underdeveloped validity of the dimensional scores in the measure. However, our recent work does provide evidence of a shared white matter mechanism underlying physical and cognitive fatigue (5). Third, it is unclear whether the current relationship would remain when examining fatigueability (i.e., acute fatigue response to fatigue manipulation tasks), which is another critical aspect of fatigue. Finally, we did not examine any AD pathologies (e.g., amyloid, p-tau) in the context of MCI. Examining these aspects may provide additional insight in determining whether the etiology of fatigue complaints is unique to AD pathology or general age-related neurodegeneration.

### Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at The Journals of Gerontology, Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences online.
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**Table 2. Correlations Between Fatigue Domains and Global Small-Worldness Properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MFI Domain</th>
<th>(\gamma)</th>
<th>(\lambda)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MCI (n = 51)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>0.37, 0.137, 49 (.007)</td>
<td>0.28, 0.078, 49 (.043)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General fatigue</td>
<td>0.27, 0.073, 49 (.05)</td>
<td>0.23, 0.053, 49 (.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical fatigue</td>
<td>0.35, 0.123, 49 (.009)</td>
<td>0.23, 0.053, 49 (.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced activity</td>
<td>0.27, 0.073, 49 (.05)</td>
<td>0.16, 0.026, 49 (.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced motivation</td>
<td>0.26, 0.068, 49 (.06)</td>
<td>0.29, 0.084, 49 (.041)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental fatigue</td>
<td>0.18, 0.032, 49 (.20)</td>
<td>0.08, 0.006, 49 (.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HC (n = 43)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>0.14, 0.023, 41 (.35)</td>
<td>-0.08, 0.006, 41 (.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General fatigue</td>
<td>0.04, 0.001, 41 (.76)</td>
<td>-0.12, 0.014, 41 (.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical fatigue</td>
<td>0.01, 1e-4, 41 (.90)</td>
<td>0.04, 0.002, 41 (.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced activity</td>
<td>0.14, 0.020, 41 (.34)</td>
<td>0.07, 0.005, 41 (.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced motivation</td>
<td>0.20, 0.040, 41 (.18)</td>
<td>-0.04, 0.002, 41 (.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental fatigue</td>
<td>-0.04, 0.002, 41 (.80)</td>
<td>-0.02, 4e-4, 41 (.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined sample (n = 94)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>0.31, 0.096, 92 (.002)</td>
<td>0.14, 0.020, 92 (.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General fatigue</td>
<td>0.21, 0.044, 92 (.044)</td>
<td>0.08, 0.006, 92 (.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical fatigue</td>
<td>0.25, 0.063, 92 (.016)</td>
<td>0.17, 0.029, 92 (.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced activity</td>
<td>0.25, 0.063, 92 (.016)</td>
<td>0.15, 0.023, 92 (.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced motivation</td>
<td>0.27, 0.073, 92 (.009)</td>
<td>0.16, 0.026, 92 (.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental fatigue</td>
<td>0.09, 0.008, 92 (.36)</td>
<td>-0.03, 9e-4, 92 (.75)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(\gamma\) = segregation (normalized average clustering coefficient); \(\lambda\) = integration (normalized characteristic path length); MCI = mild cognitive impairment; HC = healthy controls; MFI = Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory. Bold values indicate statistical significance at \(p < 0.05\).

\(p\) values across MFI subdomains were FDR-corrected.
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